## ABF TOURNAMENT COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF MEETING HELD IN CANBERRA $2^{\text {nd }}-3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ JULY 2011 at 10:30 am

## 1. PRESENT

Kim Morrison (chair), Eric Ramshaw, Marcia Scudder, Bruce Neill, Peter Reynolds
Apologies: Peter Kahler Day 1
Invited guests: Roy Nixon for part of Day 1 followed by Sean Mullamphy for part of Day 1

## 2. ABF EVENTS

(a) Summer Festival

The program recommended at the previous meeting is to be adopted in 2012. The SWPT would be reduced to 12 rounds of 20 boards (Mon-Thu). At its conclusion, the top 8 teams would qualify for the NOT which would run over Fri-Sun, each day comprising one 64 board knockout match in $4 \times 16$ board segments.
Running concurrently with the NOT would be the Blue Ribbon Pairs. This would be highly advertised as the reappearance of "Australia's Premier Matchpointed Pairs Event." Players eliminated from the NOT may drop into the Pairs at the appropriate level, but they should notify the organisers of their intent at the beginning of the QF or SF as appropriate.
A discount would be offered for players participating in both the SWPT and the BRP. It will be possible to pull out of subsequent sessions of the BRP at any point, but players MUST notify organisers of their intent.
The final of the BRP to be held on Sunday will be a Barometer Howell with the number of tables dependent on entries. There would be no carry forward from stage to stage as the drop in players would complicate this. The organisers will arrange for a few standby pairs to fill tables if required.

The aim is to have simultaneous conclusion of the NOT, BRP and MxT by Sunday evening, but this may not be possible, depending on the speed with which the Final of the NOT is conducted.

Because of the elimination of Last Train events after the 2012 SF, the program will be shortened by 2 days, commencing on Wednesday with the NWT and NST qualifying. There is scope for adding a new event also to commence on Wednesday (or Thursday) to replace the Open Last Train.
(b) 2011 Playoffs

The situation arising from the inclusion of the Henbest team in the Open Playoffs was discussed again. It was strongly felt that the team should not have

## Actions

SM to be informed
been allowed to play, and Division 1 run as either a triangle (which was done satisfactorily in a previous Playoff) or with a $4^{\text {th }}$ team promoted from Division 2. The outcome where one team had a significantly easier match in the SemiFinals was not equitable.
It is recommended that the two players who did not compete past the first stage should be awarded 3 not 6 PQPs.

As an ongoing policy, the Tournament Committee recommends that no concessions be allowed at or before half way in a match The regulations stipulate that 'concession' implies 'playing' for the purposes of compliance with the board rule for PQP awards.

Any team of 4 that enters the Playoffs runs the risk of disqualification if they find that illness or misadventure strikes at an inopportune time.

The TO in 2011 optimised the smooth running of the Playoffs rather than considering the effect of his decision on the final outcome of the event. In the case of the Playoffs, clearly the latter is more important.

The selection of the match including substitutes for BBO was not considered appropriate. The public prefer to watch a more even contest than a one-sided match.

## (c) Future Playoffs

For the 2012 Playoffs and beyond, in Teams entry format, all three Playoffs will follow the two Tier model used successfully for the Open in 2011 with the proviso that all players entering Division 1 must have earned at least 1 PQP in that Category (Open, Women or Seniors). Teams may enter as 4 or 6, and after entry may rearrange their pairings if they wish. Entries should close 3 weeks prior to the Playoffs (mid February). It is recommended that travel subsidies be given to all Players in Division 1, and half subsidies to those in Division 2, but that is a matter for the Finance Committee.

It is recommended that the timing of sessions for the Open and Women's should be the same if possible to reduce disruption.

Various entry numbers were considered: (finals will be 96 boards over 1.5 days for the Open and Women's and 64 boards over 1 day for the Seniors).
The finals for the Open and Women's should be replicate conditions at the Target World Championship. Therefore there should be only 48 boards per day with 8.75 minutes per board (behind screens).
Round robin matches could be 10 boards (and a double RR) or 20 boards (single RR).

| Entries | Format | Preliminary | Final | Total time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Play as a triangle | 2 days of RR | $96 / 64$ board <br> final | 3.5 days |
| 4 | One division | 2 days of RR | $96 / 64$ board <br> final | 3.5 days |
| 5 |  | 3 days of RR | $96 / 64$ board | 4.5 days |
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|  |  |  | final |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | As for 5 or | 2 days of RR, <br> 1 day SF | $96 / 64$ board <br> final | 4.5 days |
| 7 | Top 4 in division <br> 1,3 in triangle | 2 days for <br> triangle, 1 day <br> SF | $96 / 64$ board <br> final | 4.5 days |
| $8+$ | Top 4 in division <br> 1, remainder in <br> division 2 | 2 days for RR, <br> 1 day for SF | $96 / 64$ board <br> final | 4.5 days |

Since the format will not be certain until entries close the information should include the dates of the event and the starting time for the first day. Full details can be publicised after the entry numbers are known.
Four or six membered teams would be permitted in both Tiers with augmentation rules as set out for the Open in 2011. The thresholds for defining the panels for Division 1 entries will be abolished. The ranking criterion would be total PQP's for the team. This means that a team of 6 has an advantage over a team of 4 .

All teams in Division 2 will be ranked according to their PQPs. Any points not earned within the unit of the Playoff entry will be halved. In the case of a withdrawal from Division 1 prior to the playoffs, the team in Division 2 with the highest total PQPs will be offered promotion to Division 1.

## (d) ANC

The ANC package is in general decline. It is only when it is held in certain venues (notably, Perth, Darwin or Brisbane) that the event draws sufficient interest (local and otherwise) to make it economically viable. In addition, the viability of the Youth teams is questionable. The full quota of teams is never achieved, and this usually necessitates running a triple round robin for the Youth.

The attraction of holding the ANC alongside the Butler was that when travel costs were high, it was possible to attend both events with just one travel venture. Now that travel is inexpensive, the need to maximise 'boards per trip' is negated.

The suggestion was discussed that decoupling the ANC Teams and the Butler might be advantageous. This would allow the rotating ANC Teams organisers to opt for a smaller (and therefore less expensive) venue. The possibility of reducing the matches to 16 boards should lead to a reduction of 1 day in the double round robin schedule. Again, this would be advantageous in the states where venues are very costly. The problem arose of accommodating the Victory Dinner. Those players who do not qualify for the finals would have to fill in a day in order to attend the Dinner. The suggestion was made of running a one day event to cover this, probably with a Pairs format, and possibly encouraging interstate partnerships.

The possibility of permanently housing the Butler in Canberra was considered. This would give the ACT a second event, which they requested. However,
problems were raised regarding accommodation in Canberra during the 'nonsummer' part of the year. When Parliament is sitting it is very difficult to get accommodation, but the dates of sitting are flexible and may be changed at short notice. Roy Nixon (president of BFACT) and Peter Kahler showed no interest in running an event in Canberra.

It was felt that the MC should ask for expressions of interest from all the states, to see if any one of them might be interested in creating a permanent home for the Butler (see our calendar suggestions below).
(e) VCC

A significant change to the format of the VCC occurred in 2011 when the boards used for matches on BBO were different from those played at the remaining matches. This basic structural change should have been approved by the Tournament Committee prior to its implementation.

## (f) Calendar conflict between VCC and Barrier Reef.

Although it is undesirable to run concurrent major events, it was felt that each is successful and that there would only be minimal change in table numbers of the events were run at different times. (However, see Calendar Review below).
(g) Winner of the Autumn National Open Teams

It was felt that the declaration of the ultimate winners of the ANOT was appropriate.

## 3. APBF

The question of the necessity of a NPC for teams being sent to the APBF in 2012 (a congress year) was raised. It is a recommendation of the Tournament Committee that no captain is necessary unless it is a true International Event. It may be prudent to consider sending a Team Manager who would be able to handle day to day issues for a number of teams, such as paperwork.

## 4. CALENDAR REVIEW

The Calendar Review Committee asks the states to consider the restructure suggested below with a view to implementation in 3 or 4 years time. Items which were taken into consideration were:

- The balance of events throughout the year.
- Geographical accessibility to PQP events for all States
- Appropriately timed Playoffs for Open, Women \& Seniors.
- International events (Bermuda Bowl/Olympiad/Rosenblum, US nationals, APBF/Zonal playoffs, NZ nationals)
- Separation of the ANC/Butler (Butler ideally in a fixed location)
- Separation of the Barrier Reef / VCC
- Seasons (North in Winter if possible)
- State Holidays
- Extraneous events e.g. football finals
- Synergies of having 2 events run consecutively e.g. GNOT finals \& Butler pairs.

| Event | Location | Calendar location | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer Festival | Canberra | January | as now, including Youth Festival and GNP Final |
| Open/Women's Playoff | Gold Coast | preceding Gold Coast in February | Tues-Sun before GC, with Playoff final coinciding with beginning of GC Pairs |
| Gold Coast | Gold Coast | end February | as now |
| Swan River Swiss Pairs | Perth | mid March |  |
| Seniors' Playoff | Sydney | end March | previous O/W Playoff time |
| Autumn <br> Nationals | Adelaide | end April | moving depending on Easter |
| Barrier Reef | Far North Queensland | early May | to include public holiday on Monday (in Qld) |
| Western Seniors Pairs | Perth | mid May | as now |
| VCC | Melbourne | early June | as now |
| NT Gold | NT | early July | as now |
| GNOT | Tweed Heads | mid July | Starting on Thursday, SF/F on Sat-Sun |
| Butler | Tweed Heads | end July | Stage 1 Sat/Sun of GNOT, replacing Provincial Pairs, losing SF directly to Stage 2 |
| Coffs | Coffs | mid August | as now |
| ANC teams | rotating | early <br> September | Sun - Thurs with Transstate Pairs event to coincide with Finals, followed by the Dinner. (NZ Nationals at the end of September) |
| HGR | Perth | end <br> September | as now |
| Spring Nationals | Sydney | end October | as now (aiming to avoid clash with WBF major event) |
| Golden West Swiss Pairs | Perth | mid <br> November | as is |


| RPSSP and <br> ASP | Tasmania | early <br> December | the last events of the PQP <br> cycle. Winners to receive <br> automatic entry to the <br> Playoffs for a Pairs format <br> year. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 5. PQPs

The Tournament Committee has considered all ABF events for the 2012 cycle (leading to the 2013 Playoffs). Some awards have been changed in line with the expectation of the strength of the fields. Those events where semi-finals lead to the two finalists had a single award for $3^{\text {rd }}$ (which in most cases was equally shared between the two losing semi-finalists). This has been changed so that there are distinct awards for $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ to cater for ineligible teams in the final four. When there are no ineligible teams, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ awards will be averaged with no splitting by a countback.
PQP awards may be given with one decimal place to allow for shared values. The revised PQP table for 2012 is in the appended document PQP_2012_TC.doc

The Tournament Committee has considered the issue of transfer of PQPs between the three categories (Open, Women and Seniors). The following is recommended:

Transfers from the Open to the Women's and/or Seniors' occur at present and require at least 1 PQP to have been earned in the restricted category. Transferred points are not reduced.

In addition, the Tournament Committee recommends that when the Women's and Seniors' events run concurrently, PQPs won in one event can be transferred to the other category with a factor of 0.5 .
Those events at present are:
Autumn Swiss Pairs; VCC; Butler Pairs; ANC teams; SNWT and BEST; NWT and NST; and the Seniors' and Women's Last Train.
Those which do NOT allow transfer are:
HGR; WSP; RPSSP; GC teams and pairs; Playoffs.

## Definitions:

An eligible player at a stage of event is one who reasonably expects to be eligible to enter the next Playoffs, and if successful to represent Australia; and has satisfied the board rule at all stages to that point.
[On the rare occasion that this player is the only one in a unit defining it to be eligible, and is subsequently found to be ineligible, then the player loses all PQPs and PQPs for those events in which they were earned will be redistributed to the next placegetters before the Playoff entry closes.]

An eligible unit at a stage of event has not been disqualified from the event (then or later), and has one or more eligible players at that stage.

If a player becomes ineligible by not satisfying board rule at a stage after their team has already qualified for PQPs by reaching that stage, the player receives half the PQP award they would have received if they had continued to satisfy board rule in that stage, but had lost. This does not affect awards to any other eligible team members who satisfied board rule up to and including that stage. Players who fail the board rule at any stage may continue to play in the event.

When calculating PQP awards, units that had been disqualified or had no eligible players at the point where they first qualified for PQP awards in that event are ineligible for PQPs.

## 6. REGULATIONS

(a) Tournament Regulations and Supplementary Regulations

The Tournament Committee recommends that Supplementary Regulations should only contain matters specific to the Tournament, with as much as possible being simply a reference to the relevant sections of the Tournament Regulations.
The preferred outcome would be a short generic document containing only the relevant headings which were Tournament specific. The individual Tournament Organisers would complete the document, submit it to LK who would refer it to the Tournament Committee (if considered necessary) then to EM for final approval. It is recommended that Laurie Kelso create the skeleton document which would be available on the ABF website (possibly with appropriate payment for the task).
In addition, each Tournament Organiser would create a document which could be classified as "Information for Players."

The Supplementary Regulations for the ANC, Butler Pairs and Playoffs would not be treated in this way, as they do not run along the same lines as other ABF tournaments. These regulations should always be approved by the TC.

## (b) Changes to Regulations

10.1 The recommended duration of a session in ABF Tournaments, Championships, Festivals and Congresses will be determined on the basis of 7 7.5 minutes per board. When screens are in use this should be increased to 8.5 minutes per board and 8.75 minutes per board for the final of the Playoffs (in line with International Practice).
Total session time shall include the time spent in duplicating and recording boards, if relevant.
15.2 It is ABF tournament policy that ties in finals of ABF events, with two exceptions, shall NOT be broken.
The exceptions are
(i) the Playoffs (Open, Women's and Seniors') and
(ii) the ANC (Interstate) Teams (Open, Women's, Seniors’ and Youth).

That is, ties in the finals of the Playoffs and in the finals of the ANC Teams
must be broken, but ties in the finals of all other ABF events shall not be broken and the titles shall be shared.
24.1 The expression used to calculate the number of stanzas that it is mandatory to play to meet the Board Rule is:
$0.5 n$ (with fractions rounded down to the nearest whole number), where $\mathrm{n}=$ the number of stanzas to be played in each stage of the event.

Regulations are required that deal with disqualification and its ramifications.

## 6. OTHER MATTERS

## (a) Publishing Appeals

The Tournament Committee believes that appeals should be published and a notice to this effect should be added to the appeals form.
However, the Chairman of the Appeals Committee has the right to veto the publication of any appeal if he feels that its publication may lead to legal or personal issues, or not be in the general interest of the game. The On-Site ABF Representative should send such appeals to the MC/EM.

Where publication is felt to be appropriate, appeals can be published in the local Bulletin, or on the web.

## (b) Correspondence:

Ron Klinger - regarding the different boards used on BBO at the VCC. See item above.
It is recommended that both tables on BBO should start at board 1 as this eliminates some security issues. [BBO prefers to have one table start at board 1, the other at the second last to get comparisons up for discussion by the panel] The hand records, and contracts should not be displayed on the live score coverage until the end of the session, although the running totals may be displayed.
Simon Hinge - regarding the ANC. The handbook is being updated by RG. The question of the number of scribes for the finals of the ANC teams. It would be advantageous to the journalists of each table had a scribe, even those covered by BBO (as the operators sometimes have difficulty keeping up with the play). However it was felt that this is not mandatory.
Ron Klinger - regarding the possibility of issuing PQPs for the NZ Nationals. This was not approved.
He also questioned the carry forward in the Seniors Playoff. Carry forwards have been removed from the Playoffs, from the NOT and from the Butler Pairs.
(c) Appraisal of Performance

PR to draft criteria
The Tournament Committee has been asked to set up criteria by which holders of remunerated positions within the ABF might be appraised. It was felt that the TC is only in a position to appraise those who report directly to the TC.

## 7. NEXT MEETING

The meeting finished at 3:00 pm.
The next meeting will be held on Sunday $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ October, venue to be decided.

## PLAYOFF QUALIFYING POINTS BY EVENT

For the 2012 Calendar Year
Lead to the 2013 Playoffs which are Teams Events


| WOMENS | Type | Category | State | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 9th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Event |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Womens Teams Swiss | Teams | D | ACT | 24 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Womens Teams Final | Teams | B | ACT | 48 | 24 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australian Playoff - Womens Teams | Teams | B | ABF | 48 | 24 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autumn National Womens Swiss Pairs | IMP Pairs | D | SA | 24 | 18 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| VCC Womens Swiss Pairs | IMP Pairs | D | VIC | 24 | 18 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANC Womens Butler Pairs | IMP Pairs | A | ABF | 65 | 60 | 55 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| ANC Womens Teams (State Reps) | Teams |  | ABF | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANC Womens Teams Final | Teams | C | ABF | 30 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hans Rosendorff Womens Teams | Teams | D | WA | 24 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring National Womens Teams | Teams | C | NSW | 36 | 18 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



