

<b>Appeal #</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>Event</b>
2007-01	Misinformation	National Women's Teams
<b>Stage</b>	<b>Round</b>	<b>Date</b>
-	1	2007-01
<b>Director</b>	S. Edler	
<b>Committee</b>	E. Ramshaw (c), P. Evans, L. Kalmin, V. Cummings	

**Board** 3  
**Dealer** S  
**Vul** EW  
**Scoring** Imps converted to VPs, teams

**North**

♠ AQ97  
♥ 7543  
♦ 3  
♣ 9873

**West**

♠ KJ  
♥ AQJ2  
♦ K9852  
♣ Q5

**East**

♠ 2  
♥ K986  
♦ AQJT76  
♣ AK

**South**

♠ T86543  
♥ T  
♦ 4  
♣ JT642

**Contract:** 6♦ by West

West	North	East	South
-	-	-	P
1♦	P	2♦ (1)	P
2♥	P	3♠ (2)	P
4♦	P	4NT	P
5♥	P	6♦	All pass

- (1) Inverted minor raise, not alerted  
(2) Not alerted

<b>Table result</b>	6♦= by West, EW +1370
<b>Director's ruling</b>	6♦= by West, EW +1370
<b>Committee's ruling</b>	6♦= by West, EW +1370

**The Director:** Was called at the end of play. West had failed to alert the 2♦ call as required. South claimed that if 2♦ had been alerted, they would have enquired about the meaning of the call, and on finding that 2♦ was strong, they would have bid 4♠, possibly leading to North finding the 6♠ sacrifice.

While South might well bid 4♠, an adjusted score can be awarded only if the Director is satisfied that at least a significant number (25%) of a similar class of players would take that action. After consultation, we are not satisfied that criteria would be met. Thus, the table result stands.

Relevant laws: 40C.

**The appellants:** Made no further submissions.

**The respondents:** Explained that 2♦ was an unlimited raise. 3♠ was forcing, but its meaning obscure.

**The appeals committee:** The appeal was held without written evidence due to time pressure.

The committee determined that the lack of alerts did not cause significant damage, as they agreed with the Director's assessment. East-West must be advised to be more careful with the alert procedure.

The committee determined that the appeal had sufficient merit to avoid a procedural penalty.