

Appeal #	Issue	Event
2007-14	Unauthorised information	Australian Swiss Pairs
Stage	Round	Date
-	7	2007-10-07
Committee	E. Ramshaw (c), C. Snashall, J. Bailey	

Board 9
Dealer N
Vul EW
Scoring Imps converted to VPs, Butler pairs

North

♠ AK52
 ♥ J98643
 ♦ 2
 ♣ 96

West

♠ J8
 ♥ AKQ
 ♦ A64
 ♣ AT532

East

♠ T4
 ♥ 75
 ♦ KQT983
 ♣ KJ4

South

♠ Q9763
 ♥ T2
 ♦ J75
 ♣ Q87

Contract: 5♦ by East

Lead: ♥T

West	North	East	South
-	2♥	Pass (1)	Pass
X	Pass	3♦ (2)	Pass
3♥	Pass	4♦ (2)	Pass
5♦	All pass		

- (1) According to appellants, East examined the opponents' system card
- (2) Allegedly made in a confident manner

Table result	5♦= by East, EW +600
Director's ruling	5♦= by East, EW +600
Committee's ruling	5♦= by East, EW +600

The Director: Made no written submissions. Ruled that the table result stood.

The appellants: Thought that the auction was highly suspicious. East had not promised values at any point, yet West nevertheless forced to game opposite potentially \spadesuit Qxxxx and out. Suggested that East's examination of the system card before passing $2\heartsuit$ or the confidence with which the $3\spadesuit$ and $4\spadesuit$ bids were made might have provided UI to West.

The respondents: Made no written submissions.

The appeals committee: Heard that the Director had investigated, and South had said that he did not believe there was a break in tempo. Found that EW did not play Lebensohl, and that they had no alternative actions available to them. Decided that there was no obvious transmission of UI, and so upheld the Director's ruling.